

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Tandem Differential Mobility Analysis-Mass Spectrometry of the GroEL Complex: Structure Compaction in the Gas Phase and Inelastic Air-Protein Interaction

Christopher J. Hogan Jr.^{1,2,3}, Brandon T. Ruotolo⁴, Carol V.

Robinson⁵, & Juan Fernandez de la Mora^{1*}

¹ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

² SEADM, Boecillo, Spain

³ Currently at Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis,
MN, USA

⁴ Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

⁵ Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford, England, United Kingdom

Submitted to:

The Journal of Physical Chemistry B

To whom correspondence should be addressed: Tel: 203-432-4347; Fax: 203-432-7654
email: juan.delamora@yale.edu

ABSTRACT

A parallel-plate differential mobility analyzer coupled to a time-of-flight mass spectrometer (DMA-MS) is used to measure true mobility in dry atmospheric pressure air for mass-resolved electrosprayed GroEL tetradecamers (~800 kDa). Narrow mobility peaks are found (2.6-2.9% FWHM), hence, precise mobilities can be obtained for tetradecamer ions just following generation by nano-electrospray ionization. In contrast to previous studies, two conformers are found with mobilities (Z) differing by ~ 4.5% for the largest GroEL charge states ($z \sim 80$), but by extrapolating to small z the common mobility/charge ratio $Z_0/z = 0.0117 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ is determined for both ion populations. When interpreted as if gas-protein collisions were perfectly elastic (momentum accommodation coefficient $\alpha=0$), this mobility yields a collision cross section in reasonable agreement with earlier measurements, as well as with the value expected from the native structure. However, if inelastic collisions are accounted for via the Stokes-Millikan equation using accepted values for the appropriate correction factors ($\alpha=0.91$) the resulting collision cross-section decreases by ~ 36% relative to the native structure. We are able to estimate the amount of compaction for this gas-phase protein complex, for the first time, due to the symmetry of the X-ray diffraction structure, the high-resolution of the mobility measurement, and the lack of ion activation prior to mobility measurement.

KEYWORDS: Ion Mobility, Differential Mobility Analysis, GroEL, Electrospray Ionization, Protein Complexes, Mass Spectrometry