

# **The Mobility-Volume Relationship below 3.0 nm examined by Tandem Mobility-Mass Measurement**

Carlos Larriba<sup>1</sup>, Christopher J. Hogan Jr.<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Michel Attoui<sup>4</sup>, Rafael

Borrajo<sup>1,2</sup>, Juan Fernandez Garcia<sup>1</sup>, Juan Fernandez de la Mora<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

<sup>2</sup>SEADM, Boecillo, Spain

<sup>3</sup>Current Address: Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Minnesota,  
Minneapolis, MN, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, University of Paris XII, Paris, France

**Submitted to:**

**Aerosol Science and Technology**

**Special Issue on Cluster Measurement**

**\*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Tel: 203-432-4347; Fax: 203-432-7654;  
email: [juan.delamora@yale.edu](mailto:juan.delamora@yale.edu)**

## ABSTRACT

The validity of the Stokes-Millikan equation is examined in light of mass and mobility measurements of clusters of the ionic liquid 1-ethyl-3-methyl-imidazolium tetrafluoroborate (EMI-BF<sub>4</sub>) in ambient air. The mobility diameter  $d_Z$  based on the measured mobility and the Stokes-Millikan law is compared with the volume diameter  $d_v$ , which generalizes the mass diameter for binary substances such as salts.  $d_v$  is based on the sum of anion and cation volumes in the cluster corrected for the void fraction of the bulk ionic liquid. For  $d_v > 1.5$  nm,  $d_Z$  is within 1.4% of  $d_v + 0.3$  nm. For smaller clusters 3.84 and 14.3% deviations are observed at  $d_v = 1.21$  nm and 0.68 nm, respectively. These differences are smaller than expected due to a cancellation of competing effects. The increasing difference seen for  $d_v < 1.5$  nm is due primarily to the interaction between the cluster and the dipole it induces in the gas molecules. Other potential sources of disagreement are non-globular cluster geometries, and departures of the cluster void fraction from the bulk value. These two effects are examined via molecular dynamics simulations, which confirm that the volume diameter concept is accurate for EMI-BF<sub>4</sub> nanodrops with  $d_v$  as small as 1.6 nm.